From: Allison Castellan - NOAA Federal

To: Wu, Jennifer

CC: Peterson, Erik; Leinenbach, Peter; Henning, Alan; Kubo, Teresa; Carlin, Jayne

Sent: 10/3/2014 11:28:07 AM

Subject: Re: Review of the NOAA/EPA's Draft Response to Comments for comments regarding Forestry-

Riparian - CZARA

Attachments: Forestry-RiparianRTC093014_PL_EP_JW_ac.doc

Thanks all for the excellent comments/edits on the response to comments. I accepted almost all and made some more changes (in track changes) to attempt to address some of your other comments.

Peter, you make a good point about our use of "majority/many" etc, when describing how many commenters. That's something I've hemmed and hawed about myself. I'll bring it up with the full tech team during our meeting next week to see how the group thinks we should proceed.

There are a few outstanding things I'd like your input on, if possible.

- 1. On page 8, we currently have a place holder to describe the buffer requirements of neighboring states. Not sure if this is needed to address the comment and may be unnecessary info. We could delete for flesh out. Any preferences? If we flesh out, could someone help me fill in the details?
- 2. At the bottom of pg. 10, Peter had a good comment that if we have an idea how many trees/area would be taken out of harvest if the state adopts better buffer protections of Type N streams. While this would be good info to add, based on my understanding of the riparian rule process underway, I don't think we know what that will be yet for Type F streams so it would be very challenging to come up with an estimate for Type N. Is that true or could we come up with some type of estimate?

An updated version is attached. Let me know what you think.

Allison

On Thu, Oct 2, 2014 at 2:29 PM, Wu, Jennifer < Wu.Jennifer@epa.gov > wrote:

It looks very good. I added some comments to Erik's and Peter's. It's mainly editorial stuff and then some suggestions to conclude in the rationale what the deficiencies are and examples of how they might get to an approvable program. Response to comments looks strong, and I added some edits there, too.

From: Peterson, Erik

Sent: Wednesday, October 01, 2014 6:08 PM

To: Leinenbach, Peter; Henning, Alan; Wu, Jennifer; Kubo, Teresa

Cc: Carlin, Jayne; allison.castellan@noaa.gov

Subject: RE: Review of the NOAA/EPA's Draft Response to Comments for comments regarding Forestry-Riparian -

CZARA

Alan and Allison,

 I've added my comments and suggestions to Peter's. Well done and best of luck in this next phase! Erik Peterson Office of Ecosystems, Tribal and Public Affairs EPA Region 10 - Seattle peterson.erik@epa.gov 206-553-6382 From: Leinenbach, Peter Sent: Wednesday, October 01, 2014 9:56 AM To: Henning, Alan; Wu, Jennifer; Peterson, Erik; Kubo, Teresa Cc: Carlin, Jayne; allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Leinenbach, Peter Subject: RE: Review of the NOAA/EPA's Draft Response to Comments for comments regarding Forestry-Riparian -**CZARA**

Alan and Allison –

Overall these looked great. I have attached these documents with some minor suggestions. I have only one minor suggestion on the rationale document and a few minor suggestions for the response to comments document.

Peter Leinenbach

From: Henning, Alan

Sent: Tuesday, September 30, 2014 1:22 PM

To: Leinenbach, Peter; Wu, Jennifer; Peterson, Erik; Kubo, Teresa

Cc: Carlin, Jayne; allison.castellan@noaa.gov

Subject: Review of the NOAA/EPA's Draft Response to Comments for comments regarding Forestry-Riparian -

CZARA

 Peter, Jenny, Erik and Teresa,

Attached are two documents; the final draft rationale for NOAA/EPA's CZARA decision regarding the Forestry – Riparian issue; and NOAA/EPA's draft responses to the public comments received on this subject.

The draft rationale, which has been reviewed and generally accepted by our management team, provides the foundation for some of the draft responses. The draft responses to comments on Forestry –Riparian are covered in IX. Forestry, Sections B-G. Section A covers General forestry comments and responses. If you have time, we would appreciate your review and comments on the draft responses for Sections B-G as well as Section A. It would be great if I could get your comments within the next week or so. Please let me know if this is problematic.

Regarding the other forestry issues; the landslides rationale is getting close to being completed (hopefully within the next couple of days) and the roads rationale is being revised. It should be done sometime next week. I suspect the pesticides rationale will be done within the next couple of days as well.

Please feel free to give me a call if you have any questions.

Thanks for all of your help.

Alan

--~~ <>< ~~ ><> ~~ <>< ~~

Allison Castellan
Coastal Management Specialist
Office for Coastal Management N/ORM3
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, SSMC4
Silver Spring, MD 20910

Phone: 301-563-1125 Fax: 301-713-4004

allison.castellan@noaa.gov

http://coast.noaa.gov